



EPA REGION 7 – LTS SITE VISIT GENERAL CHECKLIST

Updated September 23, 2016

FACILITY DETAILS	
EPA ID:	MOD068552207
Facility Name:	Washington University Danforth Campus
Facility Address:	One Brookings Dr., St. Louis, MO 63130
Report Finalized:	Signature: _____ Date: 10/24/2018

PART I. SITE VISIT INFORMATION			
A. Site Assessment Introduction			
Assessment performed by (Name/Organization):		Annah Murray, US EPA	
Site visit date:	10/11/2018	Start time:	11:00 AM
		End time:	2:45 PM
Weather conditions (temp., sunny, rain, etc.):		Sunny, dry, cool breeze, no clouds	
Site setting (residential, industrial, mixed, etc.):		University Campus	
Additional introductory comments:			
Comment: Visit is not an inspection or investigation but a routine assessment of LTS-related conditions; no potential violations/findings were formally reported, but a post-visit out-brief was provided to facility rep(s) upon visit completion; and after assessor return to EPA R7, this associated report was produced and filed in EPA R7 Records Center, with state and also is provided to facility.			
B. Site Visit Attendees			
List the site visit attendees in the following table.			
To add more attendees, click on the bottom row and then the [+] on the bottom right of the row.			
Name	Role/Affiliation	Contact Information	
Linda Vishino	Environmental Health and Safety	314-273-4568	
Donna Hall	Environmental Compliance	314-935-4650	
Thomas Blackwell	Assistant Vice Chancellor and General Counsel	314-935-5266	
Bruce Backus	Assistant Vice Chancellor	314-362-8976	
Describe any concerns or comments from the site visit attendees:			
Comment: Mr. Backus requested regulatory citation for the marking and labeling of the barrier to a PCB contaminated area. Mr. Blackwell requested clarification on why an image was required to be filed as an attachment to Bryant Hall draft covenant if that image was already filed and recorded with the county in other legal instruments.			
C. Site Use and Activities			
1. What is the current site use?	Operational University Campus with labs, and rooms active on all floors of both buildings.		
2. Is the current site use consistent with EC/IC restrictions?	Yes:	No: X	Continued use of porous surfaces not consistent with use authorizations. Protections not in place, improper labels used and placed incorrectly, capped areas not properly restricted. Notes documenting this attached to geolocated images and are produced in the LTS Photo Log to be shared with the facility along with this report.
3. Has the property transferred ownership or operator since the remedy was established?	Yes:	No: X	
3a. Provide the name and contact information for the new operator/owner:			
3b. Provide any additional details about the new operator/owner, including activities performed by the new operator/owner			

4. Are there any known plans for future transfer? (if Yes, provide time frame)	Yes:	No: X			
5. Is the planned future use consistent with the EC/ICs and restrictions?	Yes: X	No:	Assuming proper remediation and or controls are installed and maintained		
6. Is there any new development on an EC/IC area?	Yes: X	No:	Potentially a sump pump and drain installed after improper PCB disposal in the Urbauer Hall elevator shaft.		
7. Is underlying groundwater used by the site or nearby entities? (If Yes, describe uses)	Yes:	No: X			
8. Are there Sensitive Receptors (human and/or ecological) at the Site? (e.g. daycare, wetlands, Threatened & Endangered [T&E] species and/or habitat, etc.)	Yes: X	No:	School		
D. Climate Change Module					
Have site representatives considered adaptation measures and planned for extreme events or other climate change impacts? What has been done or what adaptation measures does the facility plan on implementing at the site, and why?		Not assessed at this time but the university does utilize LEED certification. Would need to be revisited at the time of workplan submittal.			
E. Additional Site Assessment Summary Comments					
Assessor requested information regarding the implementation, maintenance, and any other relevant events for the Urbauer Hall elevator shaft, as well as an edited covenant for Bryant Hall and evidence supporting the EPA determination of finding the windows to be low occupancy, specifically the ground level window Assessor also requesting a schedule for corrective measures implementation to address potential exposures resulting from					
PART II. COMPILED/TOTAL OVERALL ASSESSMENT					
<input type="checkbox"/> Pass <input type="checkbox"/> Further Evaluation Needed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Corrective Measures Needed					